

Comment on "Remark on the external-field method in QCD sum rules".

B. L. Ioffe

*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, B.Chermushkinskaya 25,
Moscow 117259, Russia*

Abstract

It is proved, that suggested by Jin [1] modified formalism in the external-field method in QCD sum rules *exactly* coincides with the formalism used before. Therefore, unlike the claims of ref.1, this formalism cannot improve the predictability and reliability of external-field sum rule calculations in comparison with those, done by the standard approach.

PACS number(s): 12.38.Lg, 11.55.Hx

In QCD sum rule calculations of hadronic properties in constant external field the following problem arises. In the phenomenological part of the sum rule besides the goal of the calculation – the contribution of the lowest hadronic state – and the terms, corresponding to the transitions among excited states, there appear the contributions of transitions from the lowest to excited states. Unlike contributions of the transitions among excited states, the latter are not exponentially suppressed by the Borel transformation in comparison with the ground state term. In this aspect there is an essential difference in the QCD sum rule calculations of hadronic properties in the constant external fields – the vertices – and the ones of the hadronic masses – the polarization operators, – where excited states contributions are exponentially suppressed by the Borel transformation. For this reason in the mass sum rules, it was possible [2] to use the rough model of hadronic spectrum – the pole plus continuum – and the results were not too much sensitive to the model. In the case of the calculations of hadronic matrix elements in the constant external field the similar model can be used for the exponentially suppressed contributions of transitions among excited states. But the terms, which correspond to the transitions from the ground to the excited states,

should be considered exactly. The method, how to get rid of these terms, which are a background in the sum rules, was suggested in the first calculations of hadronic properties in the external fields – the calculations of nucleon magnetic moments [3] (see also [4]). The idea was to exploit the different preexponential Borel parameters M^2 dependence of the ground and the background terms. By applying some differential operator in M^2 to the sum rule it was possible to kill the background term and to obtain the sum rules, where the excited states contributions were exponentially suppressed, like in the QCD sum rules for hadronic mass determination. Of course, the procedure of differentiation deteriorates the accuracy of sum rule and this was a drawback of the method.

In the recent paper [1] it was claimed, that a modified formalism is invented, free from the mentioned above drawback, where the excited states contributions are exponentially suppressed relative to the ground state term and this formalism has a potential to improve the predictability and reliability of external-field sum rule calculation in comparison with the method used before [3,4].

In this comments I show, that the results obtained by the method, suggested in [1] are identical to that used before and the method of [1] differs from [3,4] only by transposition of intermediate mathematical operations. So, no improvement of external-field sum rule calculations can be achieved in this way.

The general expression of the QCD sum rule for three point vertex in a constant external field (the linear response in the field in the correlation function in terms of [1]) has the form (see ref.5, eq.13):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^2 G}{(p^2 - m^2)^2} + \int_{W^2}^{\infty} ds \, b(s) \frac{1}{(s - m^2)} \left[\frac{1}{(p^2 - m^2)} + \frac{\alpha(s)}{s - p^2} \right] + \int_{W^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho(s)}{(s - p^2)^2} = \\ = \int_0^{\infty} ds \frac{\rho(s)}{(s - p^2)^2} + \sum_n c_n \frac{1}{(p^2)^n} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The first term in the l.h.s. of (1) – the phenomenological side of the sum rule – gives the contribution of the ground state h . Here $G = \langle h | J | h \rangle$ is the matrix element over the state h , of the current J , interacting with the external field, which we would like to find, $\lambda = \langle 0 | \eta | h \rangle$, where η is the quark current with the quantum numbers of

hadron h , m is the hadron h mass. The last term in the l.h.s. of (1) corresponds to the transitions among excited states. Here the standard model of continuum was used, where the continuum contribution is given by the bare loop diagram and in the dispersion relation representation its imaginary part starts from some threshold W^2 . (The same expression for continuum was used in [1]). The first term in the r.h.s. – the QCD side of the sum rule – gives the bare loop contribution. Here, as well as in the continuum contribution in the l.h.s., the single variable dispersion relation is assumed. (The use of a more general (see [5]) double dispersion relation does not influence our results.) The last term in the r.h.s. of (1) represents the higher order terms in the operator product expansion. The second term in the l.h.s. is the background term discussed above, corresponding to the transitions from the lowest to excited states. The subtraction terms in the double dispersion relation, if it must be used, are also accounted here. (The background term in (1) is more general, than that, used in [1]; the latter corresponds to $\alpha(s) = 1$).

Let us first treat (1) according to the method, proposed in [3,4] and perform first the Borel transformation. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^2 G e^{-m^2/M^2}}{M^2} - \int_{W^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{b(s)}{s - m^2} \left[e^{-m^2/M^2} - \alpha(s) e^{-s/M^2} \right] = \\ = \int_{W^2}^{\infty} \rho(s) \frac{1}{M^2} e^{-s/M^2} + \sum_n c_n \frac{(-1)^n}{(M^2)^{n-1} (n-1)!}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where M^2 is the Borel parameter. In order to kill the nonsuppressed exponentially background term, multiply (2) by e^{m^2/M^2} and differentiate over $1/M^2$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 G - \int_{W^2}^{\infty} ds b(s) \alpha(s) e^{-(s-m^2)/M^2} = \int_{W^2}^{\infty} \rho(s) \left(1 - \frac{s-m^2}{M^2} \right) e^{-(s-m^2)/M^2} ds + \\ + \sum_n c_n \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} \left[(n-1) + \frac{m^2}{M^2} \right] \frac{e^{m^2/M^2}}{(M^2)^{n-2}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In (3) the contribution of transitions from the ground to excited states – the second term in the l.h.s. – are exponentially suppressed at least by the factor $\exp [-(W^2 - m^2)/M^2]$.

Instead of using this method Jin [1] proposed first multiply (1) by $(p^2 - m^2)$ and then perform the Borel transformation. Going in this way we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\lambda^2 G e^{-m^2/M^2} + \int_{w^2}^{\infty} ds \, b(s) \alpha(s) e^{-s/M^2} &= - \int \rho(s) \left(1 - \frac{s - m^2}{M^2}\right) e^{-s/M^2} ds - \\
&\quad - \sum_n c_n \frac{(-1)^n}{(M^2)^{n-2}} \left[n - 1 + \frac{m^2}{M^2} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

After multiplying by $-e^{m^2/M^2}$ the sum rule (4) exactly, term by term, coincide with (3). Since in both approaches the final sum rules are identical, no new results can be obtained by suggested by Jin [1] modification.

This investigation was supported in part by CRDF Grant RP2-132.

REFERENCES

- [1] X.Jin, Phys.Rev **D55**, 1693 (1997).
- [2] M.A.Shifman, A.I.Vainshtein and V.I.Zakharov, Nucl.Phys. **B147**, 448 (1979).
- [3] B.L.Ioffe and A.V.Smilga, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp.Teor.Fyz. **37**, 250 (1983) [JETP Lett. **37**, 298 (1983)]; Nucl.Phys. **B232**, 109 (1984).
- [4] A.V.Smilga and M.A.Shifman, Yad.Fyz. **37**, 1613 (1983).
- [5] B.L.Ioffe, Phys. At.Nucl. **58**, 1408 (1995).